

Martha SAR: Building on the findings to shape recommendations

Practitioners involved with Martha were invited to a reflective session with the independent reviewer to help shape the recommendations for the SAB. There was extensive discussion about the challenges, and opportunities, for the system. This has enabled the reviewer to shape the recommendations in more detail for the SAB.

Item	Review Finding	The SAB should consider:	Recommendation	Action needed	Lead agency
1	In relation to the Home receiving accurate information regarding Martha's rehabilitation and care, there were insufficient checks in place.	How can information sharing practice be improved regarding discharge?	UHS to review their discharge processes in relation to information sharing.	 Action: UHS suggested In light of the findings of this review. To provide assurance that discharge summaries contain information on how to seek further advice and support if required. To provide assurance on what processes are in place to allow UHS to formally review themes and trends from failed discharges, and how this information is linked in with the Trust's wider Governance and Assurance processes. 	UHS
2	There are assumptions made about the access that care homes have, in relation to equipment, training, and specialist services. This can lead to risks for individuals.	How do we ensure that care homes at place-base are aware of how to contact their local care home teams to receive advice and support as required (i.e. in regards to specialist referrals and equipment)?	The ICB need to consider current models in place that provide support to care homes.	 ACTION: ICB - suggested The ICB needs to ensure that care homes within their placebase are aware of know how to access their relevant care home teams for advice and support. The ICB needs to explore the potential of bringing care 	ICB



				home teams together
				annually to share learning and
				best practice
3	There was evidence of	How can agencies ensure	Recommendation:	Action: Suggested
	silo working between	that key information from	Agencies need to review their	Agencies to review their
	hospital, community	care planning is shared	processes for sharing key information around an individuals care.	process when transferring
	services, and the Care Home with an absence	appropriately with other agencies?	around an individuals care.	patients care to another provider
	of joint care planning,	agencies:		Agencies to consider the
	leading to gaps in			robustness of processes
	Martha's care.			currently in place
4	It was evident that the impact of	How can the ICS/CCG and Local Authority facilitate a reflective review of how	In light of the pandemic, all agencies to review their processes in place for allowing families to maintain contact.	Actions: • Agencies to review availability
	families not being able to visit care	outpatients' clinics can be	allowing families to maintain contact with loved ones when unable to visit	of technology to enable contact.
	homes and support	accessed virtually for care	face to face.	Agencies to explore how they
	their loved was a	homes?	race to race.	communicate guidance of
	factor in Martha's			visiting restrictions to patients
	deterioration.			and families.
	Albeit there were			
	efforts to try to			
	adopt virtual			
	appointments fill			
	the gap of face-to-			
	face outpatients			
	not being permitted.			
	permitteu.			
	<u> </u>	1		

Agencies in attendance:



- CCG
- Southern Health
- University Hospital Southampton
- Solent